

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/445,112	02/17/2000		HEINRICH JURGENSEN	P99.2405	9473
7	590	12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
Schiff Hardin	& Wait	te		FLORES RUI	Z, DELMA R
Patent Departm	nent				
7100 Sears Tov	ver			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60606-6473				2828	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/445,112	JURGENSEN, HEINRICH	1
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Delma R. Flores Ruiz	2828	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover shee	with the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second and the period for reply will, by second period for reply will be second pe	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, man. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Istatute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3 Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice. 	This action is non-final. owance except for formal management	•	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 29-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 29-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received i priority documents have be ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper I 3/08) 5) Notice 6) Other:	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2004	1126

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29 – 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw et al (5,048,026) in view of Mori (4,761,047).

Regarding claim 29, Shaw discloses a method for reducing pump light in a region of a laser light exit of a laser resonator fiber, comprising the steps of; providing said laser resonator fiber (see Fig. 1, Character 12) as comprising a fiber core (said limitation only recites facts and features that are well known and expected, the same features that essentially result from the use or application of a fiber core, and therefore said limitations are said to be inherently disclosed in the teachings of Shaw) surrounded by a pump fiber comprising an inner fiber portion which in turn is surrounded by a sheath (see Fig. 1, Character 14); at a light entrance end of said pump fiber (see Fig. 1, Character 24), inputting pump light so that laser light arises in said fiber core and exits

Art Unit: 2828

from said fiber core at said laser light exit (see Fig. 1, Character S_0) and at least section of said pump fiber preceding said laser light exit, allowing substantial remaining pump light to escape from the pump fiber to reduce pump light from being emitted with the laser light (see Fig. 1, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 20 - 25, Column 2, Lines 3 - 21, 51 - 60, Column 3, Lines 15 - 32, Column 4, Lines 23 - 68, Column 5, Lines 15 - 15,

Shaw discloses the claimed invention except for laser light exit by removing at least a portion of the sheath at said last section. It would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention, to combine Mori of teaching a laser light exit by removing at least a portion of the sheath at said last section with method for reducing pump light in a region of a laser light exit of a laser resonator fiber because is formed on the core portion of the optical fiber after removing a part of the clad layer portion thereof.

Otherwise, a light rays dispersing body is fittedly mounted on the depression of the optical fiber, or a part of the clad layer portion thereof is removed and a transparent body for causing the light rays to pass therethrough is bonded to the removed clad layer portion. As mentioned above, various optional desired means for emitting the light rays can be provided or formed on the surface of the optical fibers (Column 2, Lines 22 – 33).

Regarding claims 30 – 31, Shaw discloses at least partially stripping said laser section of said sheath and the sheath is entirely stripped away at said last section (see

Art Unit: 2828

Fig. 1, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 20 – 25, Column 2, Lines 3 – 21, 51 – 60, Column 3, Lines 15 – 32, Column 4, Lines 23 – 68, Column 5, Lines 1, 13 – 15, 38 – 64, Column 6, Lines 9 – 68).

Regarding claim 32 – 34, Shaw discloses a during manufacture of said last section only at least a part of said sheath is provided thereon and during manufacture of said last section no sheath is provided thereon at all (see Fig. 1, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 20 – 25, Column 2, Lines 3 – 21, 51 – 60, Column 3, Lines 15 – 32, Column 4, Lines 23 – 68, Column 5, Lines 1, 13 – 15, 38 – 64, Column 6, Lines 9 – 68).

Regarding claims 34, 35, and 38, Shaw discloses a providing said sheath such that a diameter thereof tapers in wedge-like fashion toward said light exit in a region of said last section and removing at least the portion of said sheath at said section by etching (see Fig. 1, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 20 – 25, Column 2, Lines 3 – 21, 51 – 60, Column 3, Lines 15 – 32, Column 4, Lines 23 – 68, Column 5, Lines 1, 13 – 15, 38 – 64, Column 6, Lines 9 – 68).

Regarding claim 36, Shaw discloses a fiber laser, comprising; a pump light (see Fig. 1, Character 24); a laser fiber core (said limitation only recites facts and features that are well known and expected, the same features that essentially result from the use

Art Unit: 2828

or application of a laser fiber core, and therefore said limitations are said to be inherently disclosed in the teachings of Shaw) as a laser resonator surrounded by a pump fiber comprising; an inner fiber portion which in turn is surrounded by an outer sheath (see Fig. 1, Character 14), said pump light being received in said pump fiber at a light entrance end thereof, and said fiber core having a laser light exit at an end thereof opposite said light entrance end of said pump fiber where a laser light arising in said fiber core exits and at a alt section of the pump fiber leading to said light exit, substantial remaining pump light escapes from the pump fiber so that pump light emitted w3ith laser light at said laser light exit is reduced, (see Fig. 1, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 20 – 25, Column 2, Lines 3 – 21, 51 – 60, Column 3, Lines 15 – 32, Column 4, Lines 23 – 68, Column 5, Lines 1, 13 – 15, 38 – 64, Column 6, Lines 9 – 68 and Column 7, Lines 62 – 66).

Shaw discloses the claimed invention except for laser light exit by removing at least a portion of the sheath at said last section. It would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention, to combine Mori of teaching a laser light exit by removing at least a portion of the sheath at said last section with method for reducing pump light in a region of a laser light exit of a laser resonator fiber because is formed on the core portion of the optical fiber after removing a part of the clad layer portion thereof.

Otherwise, a light rays dispersing body is fittedly mounted on the depression of the optical fiber, or a part of the clad layer portion thereof is removed and a transparent body for causing the light rays to pass therethrough is bonded to the removed clad layer

portion. As mentioned above, various optional desired means for emitting the light rays can be provided or formed on the surface of the optical fibers (Column 2, Lines 22 – 33).

Regarding claims 37 and 39, Shaw discloses a sheath at said last section is entirely removed, and last section said sheath is removed completely and an outer portion of said inner fiber portion is roughened where said sheath id completely removed leading to said laser light exit, (see Fig. 1, Abstract, Column 1, Lines 20 – 25, Column 2, Lines 3 – 21, 51 – 60, Column 3, Lines 15 – 32, Column 4, Lines 23 – 68, Column 5, Lines 1, 13 – 15, 38 – 64, Column 6, Lines 9 – 68).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/18/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29 – 39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2828

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Delma R. Flores Ruiz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1940. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Sun Harvey can be reached on (571) -272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Delma R. Flores Ruiz

Examiner Art Unit 2828 Min Sun Harvey
Supervisor Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2828

DRFR/MH

November 26, 2004